

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2571 10/765,714 01/27/2004 Benjamin A. Street 26.2.C99/USA **EXAMINER** 7590 11/26/2004 KOVACS, ARPAD F James W. Miller Suite 1005 Foshay Tower ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 821 Marquette Avenue 3671 Minneapolis, MN 55402

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Ap | plication No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|--------------|
| Office Action Comments | 10 | 0/765,714 | STREET ET AL. | M |
| Office Action Summary | Ex | aminer | Art Unit | |
| | | pád Fábián Kovács | 3671 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply | nication appears | on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | iress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause | In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | mmunication. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on <u>20 Augus</u> | <u>t 2004</u> . | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This action | on is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition | for allowance e | except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the | merits is |
| closed in accordance with the pract | ice under <i>Ex pa</i> | nte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the | application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a | • • | om consideration. | | • |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | • | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or ele | ction requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | • | | • | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are | | d or b) Objected to by the I | Evaminer | |
| Applicant may not request that any obje | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | | · , | ` , | P 1 121/d\ |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected t | | • | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | , totton or tottin i re | J 102. |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign prior | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority | | • • | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies | | | ed in this National S | Stage |
| application from the Internation | • | ` '' | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action | on for a list of the | e certified copies not receive | ed. | |
| | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I | OTO 040 | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/2004. | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO- | 152) |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) | Office Action S | | rt of Paper No./Mail Dat | e 11232004 |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engler (3115738), in view of Shields, II (6439444).

Engler discloses a hitch having a center cutter & two side cutters pivotally connected to the center cutter.

Although Engler only shows mower/cutter, however it would be well within the skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide means for raking or brushing or cultivating, in order to increase the width of the area covered, so that the costs of operating the device is decreased.

Engler discloses the claimed device except for utilizing a ZRT vehicle, which is in recent years commonly used.

Shields discloses that it is known in the art to provide a ZRT vehicle as claimed in order to ensure maximum flexibility in the application of the ZRT vehicle. Although, there are plentiful ZRT vehicles known in the art, Examiner found that Shields provides additional feature of utilizing or applying the vehicle for any application (mowers, plows etc...).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,714

Art Unit: 3671

Therefore, applying either the ZRT wheel-less carrying apparatus in combination with the teachings of a center device with pivotable side (wings) of Engler, or Engler's in combination with the ZRT wheel-less carrying apparatus would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in order to cover greater areas, increase maneuverability of the vehicle.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Parsons, Jr, Arnold, Mathews et al., Schwertner, Caldwell.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 703-308-5897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308 3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3671

ÁFK